

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/827,159	Applicant(s) OWEN ET AL.	
	Examiner James M. Hewitt	Art Unit 3679	

All Participants:

 (1) James M. Hewitt.

 (2) Jason Piche.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8 December 2006
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No.

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1 & 26

Prior art documents discussed:

Jones (US 2004/0232700 A1), Vitel et al (FR 2,830,070), Fischer (EP 0 794 378 A2), EP 0 334 380 A2

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's representative agreed to amend claims 1 and 26 in order to better distinguish the claims over the prior art.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)